#### San Pasqual Valley Groundwater Basin Sustainable Groundwater Management Act Technical Peer Review Meeting #1

**GSP Development, Physical Settings** 





The City of **SANDIEGO** 

November 7, 2019

Draft Work Product

## so agenda and Meeting Objectives

- Draft Mission Statement and Principles of Participation
- Technical Input
  - GSP Outline
  - Meeting Schedule/Topics
  - Draft Section Outlines: Plan Area, Hydrogeologic Conceptual Model, Groundwater Conditions
  - Proposed Monitoring Well Sites
  - Call for Data
- Public Comment



# SD Technical Peer Review (TPR)

#### • TPR Composition

- 2 independent reviewers hired by the Consultant team
- 1 representative for each Advisory Committee member can be added
- TPR members require screening
- Meets quarterly
  - Same day as AC meetings after 1<sup>st</sup> TPR meeting
- AC Member participation
  - On indicated agenda items, each AC member may provide a 3-minute question or comment
- Open to the public
  - Public opportunity to comment at the end of the meeting

## s Technical Peer Review (TPR)

#### • Draft Mission Statement:

The San Pasqual Valley GSP TPR will provide expert review and suggestions to aid in the preparation of a scientifically sound GSP for the San Pasqual Valley Groundwater Basin (Basin). The TPR will provide comments that substantively improve the understanding and analysis of the Basin and its management.

# SD 🚳 Technical Peer Review (TPR)

- Roles & Responsibilities of TPR Members:
  - Review and provide constructive comments to the Core Team and consultant team where technical concerns may arise during the development of the GSP
  - Commit to attend and participate in TPR public meetings during the development of the GSP
  - Review all agenda and background materials distributed prior to each TPR meeting by the TPR point of contact
  - Provide information in a timely manner in response to data requests
  - Work cooperatively with the Core Team, consultants, and other TPR members
  - Provide non-biased technical contribution to the GSP, not advocate for a particular interest or outcome
  - Explore/verify the conclusions and recommendations from other TPR members, in addition to reviewing the consultant team's work

#### **Draft Work Product**



# AC Comments



- GSP Outline
- Meeting Schedule/Topics
- Draft Section Outlines: Plan Area, Hydrogeologic Conceptual Model, Groundwater Conditions
- Proposed Monitoring Well Sites
- Call for Data

## s GSP Development Process: Major Chapters

Executive Summary

Section 1: Introduction and public engagement

Section 2: Plan Area

Section 3: Hydrogeologic Conceptual Model

Section 4: Groundwater Conditions

Section 5: Water budget and groundwater flow model

Section 6: Undesirable Results Section 7: Monitoring program and data management system Section 8: Sustainable management criteria

Section 9: Projects and management actions

Section 10: Plan implementation

## s GSP Development Process: Workflow



#### **Draft Work Product**

## s (TPR Meeting Schedule

- Meeting 2: January 9, 2020
- Meeting 3: April 9, 2020
- Meeting 4: July 9, 2020
- Meeting 5: October 8, 2020
- Meeting 6: January 14, 2021
- Meeting 7: April 8, 2021
- See Handout for Topics



## 🔊 🎯 GSP Development Process:

#### Components of a Successful GSP

- Satisfies requirements in legislation and approved by GSA and DWR
- Identifies basin sustainability with stakeholder support
- Continues local control of groundwater management
- Minimizes regulatory burden on groundwater users
- Provides a clear path towards sustainability by 2042 that is economically and socially practical and responsible for stakeholders



"Local agencies will now have the power to assess the conditions of their local groundwater basins and take the necessary steps to bring those basins in a state of chronic long-term overdraft into balance."

> -Governor Edmund G. Brown Jr. From the letter accompanying the signing of AB 1739, SB 1168 and SB 1319

## Sections 2, 3, and 4: Approach

- Use existing studies and reports
- Use existing monitoring data
- Numerical model needed for water budget and some components of Groundwater Conditions



## sb) 🚳 Plan Area: Preview

- How area is defined
- Setting
  - Maps of jurisdictional boundaries
  - Maps of well density
  - Maps of land use and soils
- Relationship of GSP to
  - Existing management programs
  - Existing monitoring programs
  - General Plans





#### **Draft Work Product**

## **SD Plan Area: Sources**

Example sources of information:

- California Spatial Information Library (CaSIL)
- SANDAG land use
- DWR data and tools
- Salt and Nutrient Management Plan (2014)
- Groundwater Management Plan (2011)
- San Pasqual Vision Plan (2004)
- City of San Diego's monitoring program
- DWR/USGS monitoring
- County Monitoring





14

### SD Blan Area: Production Wells



#### SD 🚳 Plan Area: Land Use



## 🔊 🎯 Hydrogeologic Conceptual Model: Preview

- Regional geology
- Structural setting
- Geologic formations
- Cross sections
- Basin boundaries
- Principal aquifers
- Topography and surface water
- Groundwater recharge



## Solution Hydrogeologic Conceptual Model: Sources

- Groundwater Assessment (2019)
- Salt and Nutrient Management Plan (2014)
- Groundwater Management Plan (2011)
- Groundwater Basin Storage Capacity and Safe Yield (2015)
- USGS Monitoring Well (2013)
- Well Completion Reports



## 🔊 🎯 Hydrogeologic Conceptual Model: Alluvium





#### **Draft Work Product**

## 🔊 🎯 Hydrogeologic Conceptual Model: Geology



## Solution Hydrogeologic Conceptual Model: Stratigraphy

- Alluvium
- Residuum
- Basement





ORRELATION OF MAP UNIT

Cleo Cyriges Cleo

Qorgan Coring

Tex Tet

 Xdf

 Kd(uen)

 Kd(uen)

## sb) 🍪 Groundwater Conditions: Preview

- Groundwater trends
  - Contour maps
  - Hydrographs
- Change in storage
- Groundwater quality
- Land subsidence
- Interconnected surface water
- Groundwater dependent ecosystems



## s Groundwater Conditions: Sources

- Groundwater Assessment (2019)
- Salt and Nutrient Management Plan (2014)
- Groundwater Management Plan (2011)
- Groundwater Basin Storage Capacity and Safe Yield (2015)
- Conjunctive Use Study (2010)
- State of the Basin Reports
- Agricultural Water and Salinity Budget (2010)



### **Solutions:** Groundwater Conditions: TDS



24

## s Groundwater Conditions: Potential GDEs

- Potential Groundwater Dependent Ecosystems (GDE)s
- Natural Communities Commonly Associated with Groundwater (NCCAG)
- Wetlands biologist
- Remote sensing
- Site visits to verify



#### **Draft Work Product**

City of San Diego has secured a grant as part of GSP development that includes the installation of two nested groundwater wells

- Developed by City's On-Call Hydrogeologic Consultant Kleinfelder
- Nine locations initially considered based on four components:
  - Geologic water bearing units
  - Near active pumping wells
  - On city-owned land
  - Fills spatial data gaps



#### • Locations ranked using:

- Current site use
- Location in basin
- Proximity to existing pumping wells
- Drilling equipment access
- Handling of groundwater discharge
- Flood plain considerations
- Biological resources
- Permitting



#### **Draft Work Product**

- Nine initial sites in red
- Selected sites circled



### Solution Proposed Monitoring Well Sites









## SD Broposed Monitoring Wells Next Steps

- Confirm CEQA exemption status for sites
- Review sites with DWR
- Hire a drilling contractor to install wells and obtain permits

More data will help provide a more accurate model for the Groundwater Sustainability Plan and for future decision making.



## sb) 🛞 Call for Data

- Well Data:
  - Well locations, construction, logs, elevation
  - Monitoring data levels, quality
  - Pumping data volume, rate
- Crop types
- Land Use and Cropping Data
- Surface Water Data flows and quality
- Documents and Reports

ile with DWR	013S002W11P001S Do not fill
The with DWR	OURCES AGENCY
WATER WETT	F WATER RESOURCES No 06266
VOCE OF INDERT NO. VICE I.	DRILLERS REPORT
Local Permit No. or Date	12C I. V F7
	0ther Well No
JWNER: Name Charles Jancic	(12) WELL LOG: Test deep 2200 p. d. f.
Address 12450 Highland Valley Road	from ft. to ft. Formation (Describe by color character size or mutual)
CityEscondido, California9202	25 0 - 12 Claev fill - reddish brown color
(2) LOCATION OF WELL (See instructions);	12 - 42 Decomposed Granite - grean/grey
CountyOwner's Well Number	color
Vell address if different from above Same	42 - 230 Hard rock, granite with some
Distance from citing mode millered from a 12450 Highland VI	fracturing grey color
Rd. N. of Road 75 ft. and E. of Pine	- Mast with a sheat and to say
Tree Nursery 400 ft.	- at 190 -210 ft
	- Here the tre
(3) TYPE OF WOF	ak: A
New Well L Deepenin	
HWT Beconstruction	
34" Reconditioning	
K Horizontal Well	- <u>/// - /// - </u>
Bridge SAN Dieguite destruction materials and	=
* R.M. (4) PROPOSED US	
Dumestic	PS - I P - I P
PINETRE Internation	at
INUASS HE SHICH Industrial	
Tot Well	- <u>-</u>
-Pomerado Rd	B - C V
WELL LOCATION SKETCH	
(5) EQUIPMENT: (6) GRAVED PACK:	
Rotary - Revenue - No Size ()	
Juble D Air B Diageter of bore	
Ither D Bucket D Packed-from to	(()) -
7) CASING INSTALLED: (8) PERFORATIONS: None	<u>\\}</u>
eee E Flastic Colevete Type of perferation of streep	
from To Dia. Gance or From To Shot	×
0 47 0 .188	
	-
	-
9) WELL SEAL:	
Vas surface sanitary seal provided? Yes 🗋 No 🗆 If yes, to depth 40	ft
fethod of sealing Cementing	_ft
10) WATER LEVELS:	WELL DRILLER'S STATEMENT.
Depth of first water, if known 15	ft. This well was drilled under my jurisdiction and this report is true to the how of a
itanding level after well completion 15	ft. knowledge and http:
III) WELL TESTS:	(Well Driller)
<ol> <li>WELL TESTS:</li> <li>Vas well test made? Yes X Nn □ If yes, by whom? Same</li> </ol>	
11) WELL TESTS: Nas well test made? Yes X: Nn □ If yes, by whom? Same Same Bailer □ Air lift XO Bailer □ Air lift XO	NAME FAIN DRILLING & PUMP CO. INC
11) WELL TESTS: Nas well test made? Yes & No □ If yes, by whom? Same Pump □ Bailer □ Air lift KO Depth to water at start of test 15 ft. / At end of test 15 Network 150 mit(mix after 3 hours 100 mit)	NAME FAIN DRILLING & PUMP CO. INC (Person, firm, or corporation) (Typed or printed) ProO. BOX 603
11) WELL TESTS: No [1] i yes, by whom? Same Fype of test made? Yes X No [1] yes, by whom? Same Pump [] Bailer [] Air lift KO Pepth to water at start of test 15 [. f. ] At end of test 15 Discharge 150 gal/min after 3 hours Water temperature [] Demical analysis made? Yes [] No K I is in the chart	NAME FAIN DRILLING & PUMP CO. INC (Perion, firm, or corporation) (Typed or printed) Address P.O. BOX 600 City Valley Center, Calif. 71, 92082
11) WELL TESTS:     No     If yes, by whom? Same       Vas well test made?     Pump     Bailer     Air lift KO       Depth to water at start of test     15     f.     A tend of test       Discharge     150 gal/min after     3     bours     Water temperature       Discharge     150 gal/min after     No & If yes, by whom?     Water temperature       Discharge     150 gal/min after     No & If yes, attach conv to this senset	NAME         FAIN DRILLING & PUMP CO. INC           ft         (Perion, firm, or corporation) (Typed or printed)           Address         P.O. BOX 600.           City.         Valley Center, Calif.           License No.         328287

## sb Call for Data

- Data supplied will be evaluated and used as appropriate
- Local data is valuable to the GSP
- Digital data preferred, can copy paper data
- Provide data by November 14, 2019, if additional time is needed, please contact to discuss
- Send to Sandra Carlson at
  - (619) 533-4235
  - <u>carlsons@sandiego.gov</u>



- Provide comments on outline by November 21, 2019
- Send to Sandra Carlson at
  - (619) 533-4235
  - <u>carlsons@sandiego.gov</u>



# **AC Comments**



# **Public Comment**

• 3 minute limit each commentator





#### • Next meeting:

- Thursday January 9, 2020, 9-11am
- Public Notices are at:
  - <u>Online:</u> <u>https://www.sandiegocounty.gov/content/sdc/pds/SGMA/san-pasqual-valley.html</u>

#### s Thank You



#### **Draft Work Product**